

1 Q. **Re: Additional Cost of Service Information, Appendices H and I, Schedules 1.2**

2

3 Preamble:

4

5 A comparison of Schedule 1.2 of Appendices B, C, H and I shows that Labrador
6 Interconnected Cost of Service Before Deficit and Revenue Credit Allocation is
7 greater under the Expected Scenario than under the Deferral Scenario, by \$31,927
8 (\$24,429,128 –\$24,397,201) in 2018 TY, and by \$7,261 in 2019 TY.

9

10 Please explain why Labrador Interconnected Cost of Service Before Deficit and
11 Revenue Credit Allocation is greater under the Expected Scenario than under the
12 Deferral Scenario.

13

14

15 A. Hydro’s response to LAB-NLH-076 provides an explanation as to the revenue
16 requirement differences between the Revised Deferral Account Scenario and the
17 Expected Supply Scenario for each of the 2018 and 2019 Test Years for Labrador
18 Rural Interconnected.

19

20 Hydro notes that the figures provided for the 2018 Test Year in the preamble to this
21 question reflect Labrador Industrial Revenue Requirement; however, the figures
22 noted for the 2019 Test Year reflect only the Labrador Rural Interconnected.